

- It is generally believed that some people are born with certain talents, for instance for sport or music, and others are not. However, it is sometimes claimed that any child can be taught to become a good sports person or musician.
- Discuss both views and give your own opinion.

People, by and large, believe that people's certain talents are innate and **the** other group have contradictory view. It can be inferred that the subject is contentious with compelling ideas. Both two groups have different perceptions.

Many a person who proved there is no limitation to their abilities such as Helen Keller or some others. I partially contribute to the idea that being a talent in sport or music is more matter of deliberately planned practice rather than innately inherited talent. For instance, there was a movie called "Forest Gump" in which there was a boy who was disabled walking. His determination and faith in his ability proved to him that he can also be a winner in running.

On the other hand, the view of majority of people who claim not only hard working and practice are not enough for achievement and success but also innate talents. I assume that in some exceptions and to some extents inborn abilities play a pivotal role in success and achievement of people. There are people that show unprecedented performance without any pre-planned practice or training. For example, Mozart was one of the musicians who could compose music since he was a child. In such cases, it can be obviously

perceived that this level of performance in early years of child is innate talent.

In conclusion, I reiterate that innate talent is a controversial subject and both groups have their reasons and examples to support their claim. I partially agree to the first idea while accept the second view as an exception.